

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/894,213	06/27/2001	Christian L. Struble	10010610-1 4820	
7	590 12/20/2004		EXAM	INER
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			· ALVAREZ, RAQUEL	
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3622	
			DATE MAILED: 12/20/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/894,213	STRUBLE, CHRISTIAN L.
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit
	Raquel Alvarez	3622
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 08 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin	-	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration of the context of t	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
<u> </u>		wind not forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a)   they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
NOTE:		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	· · ·	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected:	. "	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<u> </u>
10. Other:		Raquel Alvarez Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3622

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Examiner wants to point out that a typo appears on page 2 of the Final Office action mailed on 9/17/2004, "controlling the presentations of the advertisements" should be replaced with -controlling the presentation of local commercial messages. In Thibadeau regardless of the device used, the reference teaches presenting local commercial messages to the users based on certain weather conditions. In Thidadeau a barometer is used to measure local weather and altitude of a certain location and this information is transmitted to a computer device via a network in order to determine the targeting message to sent to a user based on the measured weather and altitude information (col. 13. lines 13-61). Applicant argues that Thibadeau doesn't teach receiving local weather information, the Examiner disagrees with Applicant because Thibadeau clearly teaches on col. 5, lines 18-28 "to monitor emergency weather information". Applicant argues that the Thibadeau reference and Helfrich are not combinable. The Examiner disagrees with Applicant because both references are concerned with sending to the users focused or targeting messages based on certain measured weather conditions. With respect to the official notices used, Applicant has to provide a proper challenge that would at least cast reasonable doubt on the fact taken notice of, therefore the Official notice is sustained. See MPEP 2144.03 where In re Boon is mentioned. It is believed that the rejections should be sustained.